UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/795,976	03/10/2004	Michael Ladwig	3351-028A	2277
	7590 07/09/200 CMAN HAM & BERN	EXAMINER		
1700 DIAGON.		PERUNGAVOOR, SATHYANARAYA V		
SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/795,976	LADWIG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		CATTI VIT ERONGAVOOR	2024
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPI	LY FILED <u>20 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
appli appli for C <u>pe</u> ric		replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance of the compliance of the filed of the compliance of the compliance of the complex that the filed of the complex that the c	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		
1 1	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection.
Extensions have been f under 37 Cl set forth in (may reduce	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exiFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
filing	the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extence of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a)	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but They raise new issues that would require further con They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a	ter form for appeal by materially rec	
(u)L	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		seted ciaims.
4. 🔲 The	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	olicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,
non-	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all allowable claim(s).		
how The Clair Clair Clair	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is provistatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: n(s) allowed: n(s) objected to: n(s) rejected: n(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an explanation of
<u>AFFIDAVI</u>	T OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
beca	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu suse applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
ente	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing red because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o ving a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
11. 🛛 The	e request for reconsideration has been considered bu e Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
	te the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (ner:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	w C Bella/ sory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Affidavit is insufficient to overcome the set forth rejections, since the affidavit does not make the statement as required in the final office action. The affidavit states the subject matter was "DERIVED SOLELY", but this not what is required.

It should make statement that in effect states that the subject matter relied is SOLELY the invention of Bruce Stalcup (notice the removal of the term DERIVED) and NOT the others named in the publication.

MPEP 716.10 states the following:

"When subject matter, disclosed but not claimed in a patent application filed jointly by S and another, is claimed in a later application filed by S, the joint patent or joint patent application publication is a valid reference available as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(a), (e), or (f) unless overcome by affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 showing prior invention (see MPEP § 715) or an unequivocal declaration by S under 37 CFR 1.132 that he or she conceived or invented the subject matter disclosed in the patent or published application.

Proper wording is critical as the subject matter claimed may be held as non-patentable under 102(b) if this affidavit cannot be properly made.